

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,455	10/18/2000	Keiichiro Yoshihara	C14-127596M/YAH	3808	
21254	7590 11/17/2003		EXAMINER		
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			SHAPIRO, LEONID		
8321 OLD CC SUITE 200	OURTHOUSE ROAD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			2673	18	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	V 0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)							
	09/690,455		YOSHIHARA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Leonid Shapiro		2673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire s , cause the application to	iver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 C	<u> October 2003</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-fina	l.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B				e merits is				
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 3-5,11-14 and 16-21 is/are pending in	n the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •	ation.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,18 and 21</u> is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 3,4,11,12,16,17,19 and 20 is/are reje	cted.							
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) obj	ected to by the F	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the	e drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the	attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language process.	is have been rece is have been rece rity documents ha u (PCT Rule 17.2 of the certified co ic priority under 39 st sentence of the	ived. ived in Application ive been receives (a)). ipies not receive 5 U.S.C. § 119(6) e specification or	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application	l application) Data Sheet.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 2673

1. In view of the new prior art, the finality of previous Office Action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3-4, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoru et al. (JP No. 07-160203) in view of Shigemura (US Patent No. 5,075,686).

As to claim 3, Satoru et al. teaches a vehicle-mounted apparatus, comprising a first panel including a first display, first panel being mountable onto a surface of a vehicle (See Figs 4,6,910,12, items 41,60a,60b,85-87 in Detailed Description See pages 4-7, paragraph 0023, 0027, 0030); and a second panel including a second display, comprising adapting second panel to be opened and closed with respect to first display about a side thereof as an axis (See Figs 4,6,910,12, items 51,60a,60b,85-87 in Detailed Description See pages 4-7, paragraph 0023, 0027, 0030).

Satoru et al. does not teach operating switch changing a function indication according to at least one of whether second panel is open/closed.

Shigemura teaches group of switches and the input functions may changed over by opening or closing the cover (See Fig. 3, items 52-53, in description See Col. 1, Lines 35-40 and Col. 3, Lines 24-49).

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to use the change indications of input functions as shown by Shigemura in the Satoru et al. apparatus in order to effect change-over of the indications by moving indication member (See Col. 1, Lines 63-66 in the Shigemura reference).

As to claim 4, Satoru et al. teaches a vehicle-mounted apparatus, comprising a first panel including a first display, first panel being mountable onto a surface of a vehicle (See Figs 4,6,910,12, items 41,60a,60b,85-87 in Detailed Description See pages 4-7, paragraph 0023, 0027, 0030); and a second panel including a second display, comprising adapting second panel to be opened and closed with respect to first display about a side thereof as an axis, wherein second panel is relatable upside down (See Figs 4,6,910,12, items 51,60a,60b,85-87 in Detailed Description See pages 4-7, paragraph 0023, 0027, 0030).

Satoru et al. does not teach operating switch changing a function indication according to at least one of whether second panel is rotated upside down.

Shigemura teaches group of switches and the input functions may changed over by opening or closing the cover (See Fig. 3, items 52-53, in description See Col. 1, Lines 35-40 and Col. 3, Lines 24-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to use the change indications of input functions as shown by Shigemura in the Satoru et al. apparatus to changing a function indication according to at least one of whether second panel is rotated upside down in order to effect change-over of the indications by moving indication member (See Col. 1, Lines 63-66 in the Shigemura reference).

Application/Control Number: 09/690,455

Art Unit: 2673

Page 4

As to claim 11, Satoru et al. teaches a vehicle-mounted apparatus, comprising a first panel including a first display, first panel being mountable onto a surface of a vehicle (See Figs 4,6,910,12, items 41,60a,60b,85-87 in Detailed Description See pages 4-7, paragraph 0023, 0027, 0030); and a second panel including a second display, comprising adapting second panel to be opened and closed with respect to first display about a side thereof as an axis, wherein second panel is relatable upside down (See Figs 4,6,910,12, items 51,60a,60b,85-87 in Detailed Description See pages 4-7, paragraph 0023, 0027, 0030).

Satoru et al. does not teach operating switch changing a function indication according to at least one of whether second panel is rotated upside down.

Shigemura teaches group of switches and the input functions may changed over by opening or closing the cover (See Fig. 3, items 52-53, in description See Col. 1, Lines 35-40 and Col. 3, Lines 24-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to use the change indications of input functions as shown by Shigemura in the Satoru et al. apparatus to changing a function indication according to at least one of whether second panel is rotated upside down in order to effect change-over of the indications by moving indication member (See Col. 1, Lines 63-66 in the Shigemura reference).

As to claim 12, Satoru et al. does not teach means for rotaiting upside down at least one of operating switch and function indication on operating switch when the second panel is rotated upside down.

Shigemura teaches group of switches and the input functions may changed over by opening or closing the cover (See Fig. 3, items 52-53, in description See Col. 1, Lines 35-40 and Col. 3, Lines 24-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to use the change indications of input functions as shown by Shigemura in the Satoru et al. apparatus to changing a function indication according to at least one of whether second panel is rotated upside down in order to effect change-over of the indications by moving indication member (See Col. 1, Lines 63-66 in the Shigemura reference).

3. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoru et al. and Shigemura as applied to claims above, and further in view of Chan et al. (US Patent No. 6,339,696 B1).

Satoru et al. and Shigemura do not show means for displaying a current audio source on at least one of first and second displays.

Chan et al. teaches audio/video source within the vehicle provides video programming to the display device corresponding to the audio signal (See Figs. 1, 8, items 12, 16, 206, in description See Col. 4, Lines 32-35 and Col. 9, lines 15-22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to display current a video/audio source as shown by Chan et al. in the Satoru et al. and Shigemura apparatus in order to satisfy the need for in-vehicle audio/video system (See Col. 1, Lines 34-35 in Chan et al. reference).

Application/Control Number: 09/690,455

Art Unit: 2673

4. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoru et al. and Shigemura as applied to claims above, and further in view of et al. (US Patent No. 6,144,358).

Satoru et al. and Shigemura do not show means detecting a position of second panel by a predetermined angle in terms of at least one of the open/close action and rotation and means for switching an input source upon detecting.

Narayanaswamy et al. teaches means detecting a position of second panel by a predetermined angle in terms of at least one of the open/close action and rotation (See Fig. 1A and 1B, items 102, 104, 106, in description See Col. 2, Lines 16-43) and means for switching an input source upon detecting (See Fig. 2, items 202,204, in description See Col. 3, Lines 34-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in the time of invention to detect a position of second panel by a predetermined angle in terms of at least one of the open/close action and rotation and means for switching an input source upon detecting as shown by Narayanaswamy et al. in the Satoru et al. and Shigemura apparatus in order to present more usable information to the user (See Col. 1, Lines 40-43 in Narayanaswamy et al. reference).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 5, 18, 21 are allowed.
- Claims 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would 6. be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2673

7. Relative to independent claim 5 and claims 13-14 the major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record (JP No. 07-160203, Satoru et al.) and US Patent No. 5,075,686, Shigemura) and the instant invention is that the said prior art does not teach specific structures (gearing) in conjunction with multi-display with changeable function switches.

Claims 18 and 21 depend on claim 5.

Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's arguments filed on 10-20-03 with respect to claims 3-5, 11-14, 16-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Telephone inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 703-305-5661. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,455

Art Unit: 2673

Page 8

Shall

VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER